Communications Laboratory Australian DTTB Lab Tests, Methodology & Results Summary

http://www.commslab.gov.au/

Presentation by: Neil Pickford



Overview

- Digital Television Objectives & Technology
- DTTB Transmission Technology
- The Australian Test Program
- Laboratory Tests Test Rig
- Laboratory Tests Main Results
- Field Test Objectives & Equipment
- Summary Field Test Results
- Selection Process & Criteria
- Selection Result & Future




Digital Television

Why digital?

To Overcome Limitations of Analog Television


- Noise free pictures
- Higher resolution imagesWidescreen / HDTV
- No Ghosting
- Multi-channel, EnhancedSound Services
- Other Data services.








World TV Standards



Australia like China & Malaysia are PAL



Transmission Bandwidth - VHF



Australia & Malaysia are 7 MHz, China is 8 MHz




Transmission Bandwidth - UHF



Australia is Alone using 7 MHz on UHF



Australian Population Distribution



Uneven Population distribution

Wide areas where few people live

Noise Limited Transmission environment



Free To Air Television (FTA)

- 5 Networks 3 Commercial, 2 Government
- Important part of Australian entertainment
- Majority of Australian audience is watching
- No television receiving licences
- National broadcasters funded from taxation



Pay TV - Cable, MDS & Satellite

- Only a small business in Australia
- Less then 400,000 subscribers
- Less than 7% of households

Indoor reception

Around 30% of Australians watch FTA using indoor antennas



Program Quality Vs Quantity

- → Australians have a low number of available television channels
- Television program budget is spread between fewer programs
- Australians used to watching high quality programming at high technical quality.







All decoders sold in Australia will be MP@HL capable allowing all viewers access to HD resolution when it becomes available





Enabling Technologies

- Source digitisation (Rec 601 digital studio)
- Compression technology (MPEG, AC-3)
- Data multiplexing (MPEG)
- Display technology (large wide screens)
- Transmission technology (modulation)




Transmission Technology

- The transmission system is used to transport the information to the consumer.
- The system protects the information being carried from the transmission environment
- Current Australian analog television uses the PAL-B AM modulation system



Digital TV Transmission Technology

- The transmission system is a "data pipe"
- Transports data rates of around 20 Mb/s
- Transports data in individual containers called packets





Digital TV Transmission Systems

Australia has been following Digital TV & HDTV

- Europeans Digital SDTV
 - 8 MHz on UHF
 - DVB-T (COFDM)
- Americans Digital HDTV
 - 6 MHz VHF/UHF
 - ATSC (8-VSB)
- Japanese Integrated Broadcasting
 - ISDB (BST-OFDM)



8-VSB - USA



- Developed by the advance television systems committee ATSC
- Developed for use in a 6 MHz channel
 - ◆ A 7 MHz variant is possible.
- Uses a single carrier with pilot tone
- 8 level amplitude modulation system
- Single Payload data rate of 19.39 Mb/s
- Relies on adaptive equalisation
- Existing AM technology highly developed



COFDM - Europe

- Developed by the digital video
 broadcasting project group DVB
- Uses similar technology to DRB
- Uses 1705 or 6817 carriers
- Variable carrier modulation types are defined allowing
 Payload data rates of 5-27 Mb/s in 7 MHz
- Developed for 8 MHz channels
 - A 7 MHz variant has been produced and tested
- Can use single frequency networks SFNs
- New technology with scope for continued improvement & development





The Australian DTTB Test Program

- Australia is interested in a Digital HDTV Future
- Australia has a Unique Broadcasting Environment
- Overseas Digital TV Developments were interesting but the results could not be directly related to Australia.
- To make informed decisions we needed to collect information relevant to our situation.
- We had a few Questions.



1

Australia needed to know:

- How does DTTB perform with VHF PAL-B?
- What Protection does PAL require from the DTTB service for:
 - Co-Channel?
 - Adjacent Channel?
 - Is Signal level a factor?

→Subjective Assessment



2

- How Quickly does the system degrade?
- What are the real system thresholds?
 - Signal Level
 - Carrier to Noise
 - Payload Data Rate in 7 MHz
- How does DTTB cope with Interference?
- □ What is a typical Noise Figure for a DTTB Rx



3

- What Protection does DTTB require from the PAL-B service for:
 - Co-Channel?
 - Adjacent Channel?
 - Is Signal level a factor?

What Protection does DTTB require from other DTTB services?



- How does DTTB perform in a 7 MHz Channel Environment?
- How sensitive is DTTB to practical Transmission Equipment?
 How important is:
 - Transmitter Linearity?
 - Transmitter Precorrection?
 - Transmitter Output Filtering?
 - Combined Feeder/Antenna Systems?



- Is DTTB affected by Multipath Echoes?
 - Are Pre-Echoes a Problem?
 - What happens past the Guard interval?
- Is DTTB affected by Doppler Shift?
- Is DTTB affected by Dynamic Flutter?
- ☐ Is DTTB affected by Impulsive Interference?
- How does DTTB perform in the Field cw PAL

Lots of Questions but Few Definitive Answers!



Scope of Tests


- The test program began with the aim of answering these questions for DVB-T
- During the early stages of testing ATSC was floated as a Candidate Digital TV System
- The test program's scope was increased and a comparative focus adopted.
- All tests were designed to be as generally applicable as possible to any Digital TV Modulation System.



Order of Measurements

- FACTS Advanced TV Specialists Group directed the priority of Testing
- Laboratory Tests First
 - DTTB into PAL protection
 - DTTB System Parameters
 - ³ PAL into DTTB protection
 - ⁴ Other Interferers & Degradations
- Field Tests Later





Laboratory Tests - Test Rig

C/N Set & Attenuators

EUT

PAL & CW



Control Computer

Domestic Television Receiver Modulator Control Computers Spectrum Analysers

Plot & Printing



Test Rig - Modulation Equipment

Power Meter

RF LO

COFDM Modulator

MPEG Mux MPEG Mux MPEG Encoder



PAL & CW Interference Generators

8-VSB Modulator



Laboratory Tests - Transmitters

Echo Combiner

Power Meter
Digital CRO
Tx LO
Spectrum
Analyser



Loads

Harris 1 kW Tx

Harris Exciter





Digital Transmitters TCN-9 Sydney





Lab Tests - VHF/UHF Transposer

Power Supply

VHF Input Filter RF Amp

RF LO

10 Watt UHF Amplifier



Level Adjust

UHF Amps

UHF BPF Filter

Mixer




COFDM - Commercial Receiver

News Data Systems - System 3000





COFDM - Test Rx Hardware














8-VSB - Test Receiver Hardware

Main Results - Lab Tests

- C/N ATSC 4 dB better than DVB-T.
 This Advantage offset by Poor Noise Figure
- DVB-T is better than ATSC for Multipath
- ATSC is better than DVB-T for Impulse Noise
- ATSC cannot handle Flutter or Doppler Echoes
- ATSC is very sensitive to Transmission system impairments and IF translation
- DVB-T is better at handling Co-channel PAL
- DVB-T is better rejecting on channel interference (CW)




8-VSB & COFDM - Spectrum





Digital Modulation - 8-AM




Before Equaliser

After Equaliser

8-VSB - Coaxial Direct Feed through Tuner on Channel 8 VHF

Spectrum of COFDM DTTB

7 MHz Carrier Spacing 2k Mode 3.91 kHz 8k Mode 0.98 kHz



Almost Rectangular Shape


1705 or 6817 Carriers

6.67 MHz in 7 MHz Channel


7.61 MHz in 8 MHz Channel




7 MHz COFDM Modulator Spectrum




64-QAM - Perfect & Failure







Channel Estimation & Equalisation



Special Data

Continuous Pilot

General Parameters - Aust Tests

Parameter DVB-T ATSC

Data Payload 19.35 Mb/s 19.39 Mb/s

Carriers 1705 1

Symbol Time 256 us 93 ns

Time Interleaving 1 Symbol 4 ms

Reed Solomon code rate 188/204 187/207

IF Bandwidth (3 dB) 6.67 MHz 5.38 MHz



General Parameters

Parameter DVB-T ATSC

IF centre Frequency 35.3 MHz 44.0 MHz

Receiver AFC range 11.5 kHz 359 kHz

Latency including MPEG coding SDTV 8 Mb/s 37 Frames



Payload Bitrate Mb/s

COFDM								
					4.35	4.84	5.12	
					5.81	6.45	6.83	
					6.53	7.26	7.68	
					7.26	8.06	8.54	
					7.62	8.47	8.96	
		11.2	17.7	5.0	8.71	9.68	10.25	
		13.0	19.6	5.1	11.61	12.90	13.66	
16-QAM	3/4	14.1	20.9	5.3	13.06	14.51	15.37	
16-QAM	5/6	15.5	22.9	5.9	14.51	16.13	17.08	
		16.3	24.9	7.1				
					13.06	14.51	15.37	
64-QAM	2/3	19.1	25.2	4.6	17.42	19.35	20.49	21.11
					19.59	21.77	23.05	
					21.77	24.19	25.61	
					22.86	25.40	26.89	
8-VSB	2/3	15.1	27.2	11.2				19.39

Blue Payload Figures are 188/204 scaled from actual measurement Red Figures are calculated from the 1/32 Guard interval data



AWGN Receiver Performance

Parameter DVB-T ATSC

Carrier to Noise Threshold (in native system BW) 19.1 dB 15.1 dB

Simulated Theoretical C/N for optimum system 16.5 dB 14.9 dB

Minimum Signal Level 25.2 dBuV 27.2 dBuV

Receiver noise figure 4.6 dB 11.2 dB

Rx Level for 1 dB C/N Loss 34 dBuV 35 dBuV




Receiver Parameters

- Guard interval
 - Affects payload data rate and echo performance
 - No impact on general receiver parameters such as C/N & Signal level.
- COFDM Transmission Parameter Signalling (TPS) - receiver automatically determines the modulation type, FEC & Guard Interval



DTTB System Multipath Character



(Conditions: Static multipath, Equal Rx NF, No Co-channel or impulse interference)

COMMUNICATIONS

LAB

AWGN Performance

- C/N 4 dB more power required for DVB-T to achieve the same coverage as ATSC.
- Better C/N performance ATSC offset by poor receiver noise figure
- ATSC C/N is very close to the theoretical DVB-T implementation is still over 2.5 dB higher than the simulated margin.
- Other DVB-T modes have different C/N Thresholds and Data Rates




Multipath & Flutter Measurements

Parameter	DVB-T	ATSC				
7.2 us Coax pre ghost	0 dB	-13.5 dB				
7.2 us Coax post ghost	0 dB	-2.2 dB				
Echo correction range us	32 us	+3 to -20				
Doppler single echo performance						
(-3 dB echoes)	140 Hz	1 Hz				



Doppler Echo - 7.5 us Coax 0 **COFDM** 8-VSB -5 -10



Multipath & Flutter - Overview

- ATSC system 2 Equaliser modes
 - Rx Eq switches to fast mode when short variable echoes are detected.
 - Lab Tests slow equalisation mode.
- 8 VSB degrades more rapidly when multipath echo exceeds -7 dB
- COFDM works up to 0 dB in a white noise environment but in this condition is very fragile.



Transmitter Performance Sensitivity

Parameter DVB-T ATSC

Transmitter/Translator Linearity
& Inter-mod Sensitivity Low High

Group Delay / Combiner /
Filter Sensitivity Low < 50 ns



Transmission Strategies - 1

Suggested Transmission System performance maintenance strategy

 DVB-T - Manual Maintenance and static pre-correction - same as PAL

ATSC - Automatic Dynamic pre-corrector
 Measures performance and makes
 pre-correction adjustments on-line



Transmission Strategies - 2

Gap Fill coverage - System Strategy

- DVB-T -
 - IF Translator
 - Non Regenerative On Channel Repeater (OCR)
 - Digital Repeater
 - Single Frequency Network
- ATSC -
 - Digital Repeater
 - Non Regenerative OCR (Low Signal Environs)



Transmission Performance - 1

- ATSC very sensitive to transmission impairments as it uses up correction capacity in the receiver equaliser.
- ATSC equaliser has to correct the response characteristic of the whole channel.
- DVB-T equaliser uses pilot carriers spread throughout the spectrum to equalise the channel in small 16-50 kHz sections.



Transmission Performance - 2

- ATSC Dynamic Pre-corrector will be difficult to apply in the combined antenna systems used in Australia
- Zenith suggest transmission without using a transmitter output filter to avoid group delay problems with 8-VSB.
- ATSC 6 MHz system operating in a 7 MHz channel helps this situation.



Impulse Noise - Results

Impulse Sensitivity (Differential to PAL grade 4)



◆ **ATSC** 17-25 dB


Difficult to measure & characterise.

Mainly affects the lower VHF frequencies

ATSC is 8 to 11 dB better at handling impulsive noise than DVB-T



Impulse Noise - Plot





Impulse Noise - Overview

- ATSC only has a few data symbols affected by any normal impulsive phenomenon
- The DVB-T COFDM demodulation (FFT) spreads the energy from a broad spectrum impulse across all carriers leading to massive data loss when the impulse is large enough.



DTTB into PAL - Subjective

Grade

3

4

3

4

-9.5 35.8 -10.6 -5.3 41.1 -6.4

50.4

5.1

38.7

-7.1

-0.9

45.5

-0.3

5.0

51.4

5.4




DTTB into PAL - Overview


- DVB-T marginally less interference to PAL
- DTTB Co channel signals need to be kept at least 46 dB on average below the Wanted PAL level to ensure Grade 4 reception
- DTTB Adjacent channel signals need to be kept on average at or below the Wanted PAL level to ensure Grade 4 reception




PAL into DTTB - Results




PAL into DTTB - Protection Plot




Co Channel PAL into DTTB - Plot



Off Air PAL into DTTB - Plot



DTTB & PAL in Adjacent Channels





PAL into DTTB - Overview 1

- The narrower ATSC system achieves very similar out of band / adjacent channel performance to DVB-T.
- ATSC is nearly 8 dB worse than DVB-T when subjected to interference from Co-Channel PAL transmissions




PAL into DTTB - Overview 2

- In situations where Co-Channel DTTB and PAL signals exist the DTTB into PAL interference will be the dominant factor, providing directional antennas are used.
- If a DTTB frequency offset was being considered for use, the data indicates that moving up in frequency is preferable to moving down.



CW into DTTB - Protection Plot

CW Interferer into DTTB Protection Ratio Comparison for 50 dBuV DTTB Signals




CW into DTTB - Summary

- DVB-T is on average 15.5 dB less sensitive across the channel to general CW type interferers than ATSC
- The DVB-T orthogonal carrier spacing is evident for DVB-T in this measurement with a variation of over 8 dB. If known CW interferers are likely then a frequency offset of less than 4 kHz may assist system performance.



CW into DTTB centre channel



CW into DTTB - Comment

- ATSC has occasional peaks due to critical equaliser responses.
- The DVB-T response above was obtained from the improved equaliser which was provided near the end of the tests.




DTTB into DTTB - Overview

DTTB			
TYPE			
D V B - T - 7	-28.3	20	-28.5
ATSC-6	-30.4	14.6	-32.2

- Adjacent channel performance of ATSC is better than DVB-T
- The Co-channel protection of both digital systems approximates to the system carrier to noise threshold.



DTTB into DTTB - Protection Plot



DTTB Field Testing Objectives

A DTTB Field Trial is study of Failure !! In comparison with current PAL television In various reception conditions:

- Investigate the difference in reception character for the two DTTB modulation systems.
- Provide information to DTTB system planners
- To provide Credible data.



Field Testing - Van

A field test vehicle was built in a small van.




Field Testing - On the Streets

Over 115 sites were measured



Field Test Vehicle Block Diagram





Field Testing - Method

- Field tests were conducted in Sydney over a 1 month period on VHF channel 8.
- Some simultaneous tests were conducted on VHF channel 6
- Power level for the field test was 14 dB below adjacent analog television channels 7 & 9
- Analog and digital television performance for both systems were evaluated at each site.
- Conducted by Independent Consultant & Mr Wayne Dickson of TEN




Field Test - Data Collected each Site

- □ Common Masthead Amp used (NF ~ 3.6 dB)
- Analog PAL transmission character (7,9 & 10)
- Measure level, multipath, quality & Video S/N
- Measure DVB & ATSC reception (Ch 8)
- Record DTTB & Analog Spectrum
- Measure Noise Margin (C/N Margin)
- Measure Level Threshold (Signal Margin)
- Measure antenna off pointing sensitivity




Australian DTTB Field Trial PAL Receive Margin






Australian DTTB Field Trial DTTB compared to PAL






Australian DTTB Field Trial 8VSB Decoder Margin







Australian DTTB Field Trial COFDM Decoder Margin



DTTB Systems Doppler Performance Limits



ATSC 8-VSB Doppler Performance Limits



8VSB implementations of equalisers are likely to cater for post ghosts up to 30 uSec and pre-ghosts up to 3 uSec only.



SHIRT

(±Hz)

Field Test - Observations

- At -14 dB DTTB power when there was a reasonable PAL picture both 8-VSB & COFDM worked at the vast majority of Sites
- When PAL had:
 - Grain (noise) and some echoes (multipath),
 both 8-VSB & COFDM failed
 - Flutter, caused by aircraft or vehicles, 8-VSB failed
 - Impulsive noise & some grain, COFDM failed



Results Conclusion

- The assessment of the results presented in this summary depends largely on the SPECIFIC system REQUIREMENTS of the broadcaster and the viewers.
- The implementation and performance of both digital terrestrial transmission systems are still being improved, however the DVB-T system shows more scope for achieving future advances.



The Selection Committee

A selection committee was formed from FACTS ATV specialists group Representing:



- National broadcasters (ABC and SBS)
- The commercial networks (7,9 & 10)
- The regional commercial broadcasters
- The Department of Communications and the Arts
- The Australian Broadcasting Authority



Selection Panel - Responsibility

Analysing the comparative tests and other available factual information

 Establishing the relevance of the performance differences to Australian broadcasting

Recommending the system to be used



Selection Criteria

- Derived a set of 50 selection criteria relevant to the Australian transmission environment
- Criteria were reduced to final 29 which could impact on the final decision
- The criteria were weighted and an overall average used to rank the selection criteria



Selection Criteria - Groups

- Most Important Criteria Groupings
 - Coverage
 - System Design Elements
 - Operational Modes Supported
 - Overall System
 - Receivers



Selection Criteria - Analysis

- Assessed each of the selection criteria elements for each modulation system
- *Some criteria were put aside as it was felt there was not enough information to factually score those criteria



Criteria - Coverage

- Inner and outer service areas
- Performance with Roof top antennas
- Performance with Set top antennas *
- Co-channel & Adjacent channel protection
- Mobile Reception
- Multipath (Ghosting, Doppler & Flutter)
- Immunity to impulse noise



Criteria - System Design Elements

- Combining & use of common Tx Antenna
- Requirements for implementing translators
- Suitability for co-channel translators
- Ability to use existing transmitters



Criteria - Operational Modes Support

- HDTV Support
- Support for closed captioning
- Multiple languages Audio
- Surround Sound Audio System



Criteria - Overall System

- Accepted HDTV system
- Performance within 7 MHz channel
- Number useful Mb/s in 7 MHz
- Ability to fit in existing infrastructure
- Overall Modulation System Delay
- System Flexibility, Upgrade Capacity & Future Development Capacity



Selection Criteria - Receivers

- Availability (for HDTV) MP@HL
- Receiver Features & Cost
- PAL and DTTB capability
- Degree of customizing for Australia
- Receiver Applications Software
- Lock up time
- Australian channel selection



DTTB Choice Assessment Sheet GROUP1 - COVERAGE ATSC DVB **IMPORTANCE** Element Group Element Group 1 1.1 Percentage of A coverage pop. served 1.2 Percentage of B coverage pop. served 1.3 Set top antennas 1.4 Mobile reception 1.5 Co-channel performance 1.6 Adjacent channel performance 1.7 Multipath Performance 1.8 Immunity to electrical interference 1.9 Ability to be conveyed in MATV and cabled systems **GROUP 2 - SYSTEM DESIGN ELEMENTS** ATSC DVB **IMPORTANCE** Element Group Element Group 2 2.1 Combining to use common transmit antennas 2.2 Ease of use and cost of implementing translators 2.3 Common channel translator capability 2.4 Ability to use existing transmitters GROUP3 - OPERATIONAL MODES SUPPORTED ATSC DVB **IMPORTANCE** Element Group Element Group 3 3.1 HDTV support 3.2 Support for closed captions 3.3 Support for multilingual audio 3.4 Audio System **GROUP 4 - OVERALL SYSTEM IMPORTANCE** ATSC DVB Element Group Element Group 4 4.1 Adoption of an accepted rather than unique (HDTV) system 4.2 Performance within 7 MHz channel 4.3 Number of useful Mbs/7MHz 4.4 Overall encode/decode delay System upgrade & further development capability

	GROUP 5 - RECEIVER ELEMENTS	ATSC	DVB	IMPORTANCE	
				Element	Group
Element	Group 5				
5.1	Receiver availability, features & cost				
5.2	Receiver and STB MP @ HL				
5.3	Receivers with both PAL and DTTB capability				
5.4	Receivers not specific design for Australia				
5.5	Receiver applications software				
5.6	Receiver lock-up time				
5.7	Ability to provide automatic channel selection for Australian channelling				



DTTB Choice Assessment Sheet

	GROUP1 – COVERAGE	ATSC	DVB	IMPORTANCE	
				Element	Group
Element	Group 1				
1.1	Percentage of A coverage pop. served				
1.2	Percentage of B coverage pop. served				
1.3	Set top antennas				
1.4	Mobile reception				
1.5	Co-channel performance				
1.6	Adjacent channel performance				
1.7	Multipath Performance				
1.8	Immunity to electrical interference				
1.9	Ability to be conveyed in MAT V and cabled systems				

	GROUP 2 - SYSTEM DESIGN ELEMENTS	ATSC	DVB	IMPORTANCE	
				Element	Group
Element	Group 2				
2.1	Combining to use common transmit antennas				
2.2	Ease of use and cost of implementing translators				
2.3	Common channel translator capability				
2.4	Ability to use existing transmitters				



Selection Result - June 1998

The selection committee unanimously selected the 7 MHz DVB-T modulation system for use in Australia

The criteria that were set aside would, however, not have changed the selection decision



Overall Selection Influences

- A single system for All Free to Air Broadcasters
- Ability to meet Governments objectives for coverage
- Able to deliver the HDTV quality objective
- Availability of consumer products at acceptable costs
- Solid support from proponent
- Interoperability with other digital video platforms
- Confidence in the system meeting the business objectives



More Selections

- Sub-committees formed to investigate:
 - Service information data standard
 - Multichannel audio system
 - HDTV video production format
- July 1998 3 further recommendations
 - SI data standard be based on DVB-SI
 - AC3 multichannel audio is the preferred audio encoding format
 - 1920/1080/50 Hz interlaced 1125 lines is the preferred video production format



Frame Rate Video Format Decision

- Examined 50 or 60 Hz based video formats
- Decided to stay with 25/50 Hz system:
 - 40+ years of 50 Hz Archive program material
 - Overseas production available in 50 or 60 Hz
 - Down-conversion is required for Legacy Rx
 - Inappropriate to use incompatible frame rates in the FTA broadcast community
 - Production problems associated with 60 Hz image capture in a 50 Hz power environment
 - Broadcast / Consumer Manufacturers assurance50 Hz equipment will be available



Australian Video Formats

- Use of Progressive and Interlace video formats
- The Format selected to suit program content.
- Likely Video Formats MP@HL,
 - 1920x1080/25P
 - ◆ 1920x1080/50I
 - ◆ 720x576/50P
 - ◆ 720x576/50I

- **⇒** Film Material
- **⇒** General Entertainment
- **⇒** Sports Coverage
 - **⇒ SDTV Program**

MP@ML




DTTB Implementation Notes:

- Although SFNs are of interest in Australia they will be of little use during the simulcast period.
- Use may be made of Dual Frequency Networks to increase spectrum efficiency
- The channel frequency matrix will be adjusted when Analog TV services cease.
- Digital TV provides the capacity to repack the television spectrum.



A Future Digital System Concept



The End

Thank you for your attention

Any questions?

